



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,437	06/23/2003	Paul C. Coffin	100202247-1	7975
22879	7590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER	
1122211.	PACKARD COMPANY	FOX, CHARLES A		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	INS, CO 80527-2400	3652		

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>		
Office Action Summary		10/60			COFFIN ET AL.		
		Exami		Art Unit	<u> </u>		
			s A. Fox	3652			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica			<b>}</b>	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuth reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. ory period will apply ar , by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MC application to become a	ICATION. The reply be timely filed DINTHS from the mailing date of this (ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 10 Novembe	r 2005.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) <u>12-24</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-11 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected to. Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from					
·· _	·						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 June 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	s/are: a)⊠ acce on to the drawing( e correction is red	s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action from the International	cuments have to cuments have to the priority docu I Bureau (PCT I	peen received. Deen received in Diments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	l Stage		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	<sup>-</sup> O-152)		

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "said gear rack on said carriage" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears this claim should depend from claim 17 and not claim 15, but as that is not clear the claim can not be treated on the merits at this time. Clarification is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,11 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grant et al. Regarding claim 1 Grant et al. US 4,891,720 discloses a cartridge handling device comprising:

a lift assembly (14) having at least one guide track (46,48) aligned along a first displacement path in a media storage area;

a carriage (38) having a transfer shaft (34) aligned along a second transfer path; said transfer shaft operatively associated with said guide track for moving said

carriage along said guide track;

a picker (42) slidably connected to said carriage and moving therewith through said second and first displacement paths.

Art Unit: 3652

In regards to claim 2 Grant et al. further disclose the transfer shaft extends between two guide tracks.

Regarding claim 3 grant et al. also disclose the guide tracks as having a bearing race along their entire length (see figures 3A and 3B) with said transfer shafts being slidably mounted to said bearing race.

Regarding claim 11 Grant et al. also discloses that the first and second transfer paths are orthogonal.

Regarding claims 25-27 Grant discloses a media storage system comprising:

a first guide means for defining a first displacement path;

a second guide means for defining a second displacement path

said second guide means rotable engaging said first guide means for movement of said second guide means along said first displacement path;

cartridge engaging means for transporting a data cartridge;

said engaging means moving with said second guide means along said first displacement path;

said engaging means further movable along said second path defined by said second guides;

a drive means for moving said second guide means through said first displacement path;

a drive means for moving said engaging means along said second displacement path.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,437 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asakawa et al. In regards to claims 1-3 Asakawa et al. US 5,064,337 discloses a handling apparatus for a media storage area comprising:

a lift assembly having at least one guide track (21a,21b) aligned along a first displacement path in a media storage area;

a carriage (1) having a transfer shaft (17) aligned along a second transfer path; said transfer shaft operatively associated with said guide track for moving said carriage along said guide track;

a picker (5) connected to said carriage and moving therewith through said second and first displacement paths;

a bearing race (22a,22b) extending along the length of each guide track with said transfer shaft slidably connected to said race.

Regarding claim 4 Asakawa et al. also disclose a drive motor (19) mounted on said carriage and operatively associated with said guide tracks for moving the carriage in said first path.

Regarding claim 8 Asakawa et al. also disclose the carriage as having a platform (1b) mounted in spaced apart relation to said transfer shaft, said platform supporting said picker on said carriage.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/601,437

Art Unit: 3652

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Grant et al. Asakawa et al. teach the limitations of claim 1 as above they further teach a guide bearing for running along the guide rails. Asakawa et al. do not teach a wheel mounted in said guide bearing. Grant et al. teach a set of guide races(46,48) which have a carriage slidably mounted thereon via a series of guide wheels (60,70). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the guide ways taught by Asakawa et al. with wheels as taught by Grant et al. in order to allow the guide to move easier by rolling, thereby allowing for a smaller drive motor to move the device.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Thatcher. Asakawa et al. teach the limitations of claim 1 as above, they do not teach a second drive motor connected to the carriage. Thatcher teaches a manipulator comprising:

a pair of guide rails (4), including a first rack and pinion drive for moving a carriage (28) in a first displacement path;

a second drive means located on manipulator for moving said manipulator in a second displacement path along said carriage;

wherein said second drive means comprises a second drive motor and pinion cooperating with a rack mounted on said carriage. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Asakawa

et al. with the drives as taught by Thatcher in order to provide a device that can move a manipulator to the proper location and control its actions in a precise manner.

Claim10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shapiro. Asakawa et al. teaches the limitations of claim 1 as above, they do not teach pivotal bearings. Shapiro US 6,041,988 teaches using a pivotal bearing (16) to maintain the bearing in contact with a guide as a carriage is move relative to said guide. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Asakawa et al. with a biased bearing as taught by Shapiro in order to allow the guide bearing to contact the guide at all times.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The zero pitch treading on the transfer shaft working in conjunction with a pinion to move the picker is not taught or suggested by the closest prior art of Asakawa et al.

Claims 12-24 are allowed. The closest prior art of Asakawa et al. and grant et al. does not teach or suggest the second drive motor be mounted on the picker.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 10, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding applicants assertion that the vertical rails (34) are not operably connected to the carriage the applicant is incorrect. The carriage does

move along said vertical rails, and is therefore operable connected to them. All of the separate elements of Grant et al. are operable connected to one another, the applicant does not claim they are driven by any particular element only they be operably connected.

Regarding the arguments against claim 25 the first and second guide means disclosed by grant et al. interface through wheels (60), and those wheels for a rotating interface. As such they meet the limitation of the claims as written.

Regarding the arguments against the Asakawa reference in the rejection of claim 1 the examiner is giving the word applicant is arguing limitations which are not in the claim. The word along does not equate to the word on as argued by the applicant. For something to move along relative to another object it need only move in a parallel path to the object. As such the claim stands finally rejected.

Applicant's arguments, with respect to claims 12-24 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims of 12-14 and 17-24 has been withdrawn.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/601,437

Art Unit: 3652

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-6923. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAF CAF 1-21-06 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 8